



## BACTERIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF FAST FOODS AT RESTAURANTS LEVEL IN CAIRO GOVERNORATE

Hemmat M. Ibrahim, Reham A. Amin and Sobieh A. S

Department of Food Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University.

### ABSTRACT

A grand total of 90 random samples of fast foods (ready to eat) represented by beef kofta, beef sausage and hawawshi as meat products and chicken pane, shiesh tawook and chicken shawerma as chicken meat products (15 of each) were collected from different restaurants in Cairo government, Egypt, for bacteriological evaluation. The mean values of APC, coliform and *Staphylococcal* counts in the examined samples of fast food were  $1.83 \times 10^4 + 0.39 \times 10^4$ ,  $7.91 \times 10^2 + 1.48 \times 10^2$  &  $9.35 \times 10^2 + 2.08 \times 10^2$  (cfu/g) for beef kofta,  $8.61 \times 10^4 + 2.07 \times 10^4$ ,  $1.54 \times 10^3 + 0.33 \times 10^3$  &  $2.76 \times 10^3 + 0.51 \times 10^3$  (cfu/g) for beef sausage,  $2.24 \times 10^5 + 0.52 \times 10^5$ ,  $6.62 \times 10^3 + 1.09 \times 10^3$  &  $8.12 \times 10^3 + 1.29 \times 10^3$  (cfu/g) for hawawshi,  $7.35 \times 10^4 + 1.17 \times 10^4$ ,  $1.18 \times 10^3 + 0.26 \times 10^3$  &  $3.01 \times 10^3 + 0.46 \times 10^3$  (cfu/g) for chicken pane,  $1.92 \times 10^5 + 0.46 \times 10^5$ ,  $5.08 \times 10^4 \pm 1.17 \times 10^4$ ,  $4.32 \times 10^3 + 0.85 \times 10^3$  &  $9.84 \times 10^3 + 1.68 \times 10^3$  (cfu/g) for shiesh tawook,  $4.58 \times 10^5 + 0.74 \times 10^5$ ,  $9.97 \times 10^3 + 2.53 \times 10^3$  and  $1.75 \times 10^4 + 0.31 \times 10^4$  (cfu/g) for chicken shawerma, respectively.

**Keywords:** Fast foods, APC, coliform, *Staphylococcal* counts

(BVMJ-26(1):34-42, 2014)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

**F**ast food is the term given to food that can be prepared and served very quickly. While any meal with low preparation time can be considered to be fast food, typically the term refers to food sold in a restaurant or store with low quality preparation and served to the customer in a packaged form for takeout/take-away. The aerobic plate count indicates the level of microorganisms in a product and provides general estimate of live aerobic bacteria, indicating the quality, shelf life and post heat processing contamination (Maturin and Peeler, 1998). Processed meats are subjected to be contaminated with several types of microorganisms from different sources during the period elapsed from the time of slaughtering, preparation,

processing and cooking to consumption. These microorganisms varied according to the method of manufacture, quality of used non-meat ingredients, and contamination level during the processing chain, packaging and storage (Narasimha and Ramesh, 1988). *Staphylococcus aureus* plays a great role in bacterial contamination of fast foods, because workers during preparation and processing may touch fast foods which are usually eaten without sufficient cooking or heating (Soliman, 1988). *Staphylococcus aureus* have been implicated in cases of severe diarrhea as well as the main cause of food poisoning gastroenteritis among consumers (Davies and Board, 1998). Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate the bacteriological examination of fast foods at restaurants level in Cairo governorate.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Collection of samples:

A grand total of 90 random samples of fast foods (ready to eat) represented by beef kofta, beef sausage and hawawshi as meat products and chicken pane, shiesh tawook and chicken shawerma as chicken meat products (15 of each) were collected from different restaurants in Cairo governorate, Egypt. Each collected sample was kept in a separate sterile plastic bag and preserved in an ice box then transferred to the laboratory under complete aseptic conditions without undue delay and examined as quickly as possible. The collected samples were subjected to bacteriological examinations to evaluate their safety and fitness for human consumption.

### 2.2. Bacteriological Examination

#### 2.2.1. Preparation of samples (ICMSF, 1996)

To 25 grams of the examined sample, 225 ml of sterile peptone water (0.1%) were aseptically added and thoroughly homogenized (1/10 dilution). One ml from the original dilution was transferred to another sterile tube containing 9 ml of sterile buffered peptone water and mixed well to make the next dilution, from which further decimal serial dilution were prepared. The prepared dilutions were subjected to the following examinations.

#### 2.2.2. Determination of Aerobic plate count.

It was carried out acc. to ICMSF, 1996.

#### 2.2.3. Determination of Coliform count.

It was carried out acc. to ICMSF, 1996.

#### 2.2.3.1. Isolation and Identification of *Enterobacteriaceae*.

Members belonging to *Enterobacteriaceae* were further identified according to Cowan and Steel (1974).

#### 2.2.3.1.1. Morphological examination.

Films of pure suspected cultures were stained with Gram stain and examined microscopically (Cruickshank *et al.*, 1975).

#### 2.2.3.1.2. Biochemical identification.

- Motility test (Collins and Lyne, 1984).
- Citrate utilization test (Simmon, 1926).
- Gelatin hydrolysis test (Collins and Lyne 1984).
- Indole production test (Kovacs, 1928).
- Methyl Red Test (Ljutov, 1961).
- Voges – Praskauer test (Ljutov, 1963).
- Hydrogen sulphide production test (MacFaddin, 1976).
- Oxidation–Fermentation test (Hugh and Leifson, 1953).
- Urease test (Edwards and Ewing, 1972).
- Eijkman test (Collins and Lyne, 1984).
- Nitrate reduction test (Collins and Lyne, 1984).
- Fermentation of sugars (MacFaddin, 1976).

#### 2.2.4. Determination of total *Staphylococci* count.

It was carried out acc. to ICMSF, 1996.

#### 2.2.4.1. Morphological examination.

Films of pure suspected cultures were stained with Gram stain and examined microscopically (Cruickshank *et al.*, 1975).

#### 2.2.4.2. Biochemical identification.

- Catalase activity test (MacFaddin, 1976).
- Detection of haemolysis.
- Mannitol test (Bailey and Scott, 1978).
- Coagulase test (APHA, 1984).
- Thermostable nuclease test "D-Nase activity" (Lachia *et al.*, 1971)

## 3. RESULTS

## Bacteriological evaluation of fast foods

### 3.1. Aerobic plate count of the examined fast food samples

It is evident from the results recorded in table (1), that the APC/g of the examined samples of fast food ranged from  $9.3 \times 10^2$  to  $2.0 \times 10^5$  with an average of  $1.83 \times 10^4 + 0.39 \times 10^4$ /(cfu/g) for beef kofta,  $2.7 \times 10^3$  to  $1.1 \times 10^6$  with an average  $8.61 \times 10^4 + 2.07 \times 10^4$ /(cfu/g) for Sausage,  $8.2 \times 10^3$  to  $4.9 \times 10^6$  with an average  $2.24 \times 10^5 + 0.52 \times 10^5$ /(cfu/g) for Hawawshi,  $1.6 \times 10^3$  to  $5.3 \times 10^5$  with an average  $7.35 \times 10^4 + 1.17 \times 10^4$  (cfu/g) for Pane,  $4.4 \times 10^3$  to  $3.0 \times 10^6$  with an average  $1.92 \times 10^5 + 0.46 \times 10^5$  (cfu/g) for Shiesh tawook and  $1.3 \times 10^4$  to  $7.8 \times 10^6$  with an average  $4.58 \times 10^5 + 0.74 \times 10^5$  (cfu/g) for Shawerma.

### 3.2. Total coliform count of the examined fast food samples

From the results given in table (2) it is obvious that the mean values of total coliform counts/(cfu/g) in the examined samples of fast food were  $7.91 \times 10^2 + 1.48 \times 10^2$ /(cfu/g) for beef kofta,  $1.54 \times 10^3 + 0.33 \times 10^3$  (cfu/g) for sausage,  $6.62 \times 10^3 + 1.09 \times 10^3$ /(cfu/g) for hawawshi,  $1.18 \times 10^3 + 0.26 \times 10^3$  (cfu/g) for pane,  $4.32 \times 10^3 + 0.85 \times 10^3$  (cfu/g) for shiesh tawook and  $9.97 \times 10^3 + 2.53 \times 10^3$  (cfu/g) for shawerma.

### 3.3. Enteric bacteria of the examined fast food samples

Moreover, *Proteus mirabilis* (46.67%), *Proteus vulgaris*, *Enterobacter aerogenes* (33.33% of each), *Klebsiella ozaenae* (26.67%), *Citrobacter freundii* (20%) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (13.33%), *Serratia marcescens* and *Enterobacter cloacae* (6.67% of each) were isolated from the examined beef kofta samples. (Table 3).

Concerning beef sausage, *Proteus vulgaris* was isolated at the highest level (53.33%), followed by *Enterobacter aerogenes*

(46.67%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (40%), *Klebsiella ozaenae* (33.33%), *Citrobacter diversus* and *Proteus mirabilis* (26.67% of each), *Enterobacter cloacae*, and *Serratia marcescens* (20% of each), and *Serratia liquefaciens* and *Citrobacter freundii* (13.33% of each) (Table 3).

From hawawshi, *Citrobacter freundii* was isolated at the highest level (66.67%), followed by *Klebsiella ozaenae* (53.33%), *Proteus vulgaris* (46.67%), *Proteus mirabilis* (40%), *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Serratia marcescens* (26.67% of each), *Enterobacter aerogenes* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (20% of each), *Proteus rettgeri* (13.33%), *Citrobacter diversus* and *Serratia liquefaciens* (6.67% of each) (Table 3).

From shish tawook, *Enterobacter cloacae* was isolated at the highest level (66.67%), followed by *Proteus mirabilis* (46.67%), *Proteus vulgaris* (40%), *Citrobacter diversus*, *Enterobacter aerogenes* (33.33% of each), *Citrobacter freundii*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (26.67% of each), *Proteus rettgeri* (20%), *Klebsiella ozaenae* and *Serratia liquefaciens* (13.33% of each) and *Serratia marcescens* (6.67%) (Table 4).

Concerning shawerma, *Proteus mirabilis* was isolated at the highest level (73.33%), followed by *Proteus vulgaris* and *Enterobacter cloacae* (53.33%) of each, *Citrobacter diversus* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (46.67% of each), *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Serratia marcescens* (40% of each), *Klebsiella ozaenae* (33.33%), *Citrobacter freundii* and *Serratia liquefaciens* (26.67% of each) and *Proteus rettgeri* and *Providencia alcalifaciens* (13.33% of each). (Table 4).

### 3.4. Total staphylococcal count of the examined fast food samples

From the results given in table (5), it is obvious that the total staphylococcal count in the examined samples of fast food ranged from  $1.0 \times 10^2$  to  $8.0 \times 10^3$  with an average of  $9.35 \times 10^2 + 2.08 \times 10^2$ /(cfu/g) for beef kofta,

2.0×10<sup>2</sup> to 1.1×10<sup>4</sup> with an average 2.76×10<sup>3</sup> + 0.51×10<sup>3</sup> (cfu/g) for sausage, 5.0×10<sup>2</sup> to 4.0×10<sup>4</sup> with an average 8.12×10<sup>3</sup> + 1.29×10<sup>3</sup> (cfu/g) for hawawshi, 1.0×10<sup>2</sup> to 2.0×10<sup>4</sup> with an average 3.01×10<sup>3</sup> + 0.46×10<sup>3</sup> (cfu/g) for pane, 4.0×10<sup>2</sup> to 1.0×10<sup>5</sup> with an average 9.84×10<sup>3</sup> + 1.68×10<sup>3</sup> (cfu/g) for shiesh tawook and 6.0×10<sup>2</sup> to 1.8×10<sup>5</sup> with an average 1.75×10<sup>4</sup> + 0.31×10<sup>4</sup> (cfu/g) for shawerma.

Table (1): Statistical analytical results of Aerobic plate count/g (APC) in the examined samples of fast foods at restaurants in Cairo governorate (n=15).

Fast Foods	Min.	Max.	Mean ± S.E*
Meat Products:			
Kofta	9.3×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.0×10 <sup>5</sup>	1.83×10 <sup>4</sup> + 0.39×10 <sup>4</sup>
Sausage	2.7×10 <sup>3</sup>	1.1×10 <sup>6</sup>	8.61×10 <sup>4</sup> + 2.07×10 <sup>4</sup>
Hawawshi	8.2×10 <sup>3</sup>	4.9×10 <sup>6</sup>	2.24×10 <sup>5</sup> + 0.52×10 <sup>5</sup>
Chicken Products:			
Pane	1.6×10 <sup>3</sup>	5.3×10 <sup>5</sup>	7.35×10 <sup>4</sup> + 1.17×10 <sup>4</sup>
Shiesh tawook	4.4×10 <sup>3</sup>	3.0×10 <sup>6</sup>	1.92×10 <sup>5</sup> + 0.46×10 <sup>5</sup>
Shawerma	1.3×10 <sup>4</sup>	7.8×10 <sup>6</sup>	4.58×10 <sup>5</sup> + 0.74×10 <sup>5</sup>

S.E\* = standard error of mean.

Table (2): Statistical analytical results of total coliform count/g in the examined samples of fast foods at restaurants in Cairo governorate (n=15).

Fast Foods	Min.	Max.	Mean ± S.E*
Meat Products:			
Kofta	1.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	5.6×10 <sup>3</sup>	7.91×10 <sup>2</sup> + 1.48×10 <sup>2</sup>
Sausage	3.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	9.5×10 <sup>3</sup>	1.54×10 <sup>3</sup> + 0.33×10 <sup>3</sup>
Hawawshi	7.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	3.8×10 <sup>4</sup>	6.62×10 <sup>3</sup> + 1.09×10 <sup>3</sup>
Chicken Products:			
Pane	2.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	1.7×10 <sup>4</sup>	1.18×10 <sup>3</sup> + 0.26×10 <sup>3</sup>
Shiesh tawook	6.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	3.2×10 <sup>4</sup>	4.32×10 <sup>3</sup> + 0.85×10 <sup>3</sup>
Shawerma	1.4×10 <sup>3</sup>	8.0×10 <sup>4</sup>	9.97×10 <sup>3</sup> + 2.53×10 <sup>3</sup>

S.E\* = standard error of mean

Table (3): Incidence of Enteric bacteria isolated from the examined samples of fast meat products at restaurants in Cairo governorate (n=15).

Isolated Enterobacteria	Kofta		Sausage		Hawawshi	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
<i>Citrobacter diversus</i>	-	-	4	26.67	1	6.67
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	3	20.00	2	13.33	10	66.67
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	5	33.33	7	46.67	3	20.00
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	1	6.67	3	20.00	4	26.67
<i>Klebsiella ozaenae</i>	4	26.67	5	33.33	8	53.33
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	2	13.33	6	40.00	3	20.00
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	7	46.67	4	26.67	6	40.00
<i>Proteus rettgeri</i>	-	-	-	-	2	13.33
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	5	33.33	8	53.33	7	46.67
<i>Serratia liquefaciens</i>	-	-	2	13.33	1	6.67
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	1	6.67	3	20.00	4	26.67

## Bacteriological evaluation of fast foods

Table (4): Incidence of Enteric bacteria isolated from the examined samples of fast chicken products at restaurants in Cairo governorate (n=15).

Isolated Enterobacteria	Pane		Shish tawook		Shawerma	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
<i>Citrobacter diversus</i>	1	6.67	5	33.33	7	46.67
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	2	13.33	4	26.67	4	26.67
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	2	13.33	5	33.33	6	40.00
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	4	26.67	10	66.67	8	53.33
<i>Klebsiella ozaenae</i>	3	20.00	2	13.33	5	33.33
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	5	33.33	4	26.67	7	46.67
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	6	40.00	7	46.67	11	73.33
<i>Proteus rettgeri</i>	1	6.67	3	20.00	2	13.33
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	8	53.33	6	40.00	8	53.33
<i>Providencia alcalifaciens</i>	-	-	-	-	2	13.33
<i>Serratia liquefaciens</i>	1	6.67	2	13.33	4	26.67
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	3	20.00	1	6.67	6	40.00

Table (5): Statistical analytical results of total Staphylococci count/g in the examined samples of fast foods at restaurants in Cairo government (n=15)

Fast Foods	Min.	Max.	Mean ± S.E*
<b>Meat Products:</b>			
Kofta	1.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	8.0×10 <sup>3</sup>	9.35×10 <sup>2</sup> + 2.08×10 <sup>2</sup>
Sausage	2.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	1.1×10 <sup>4</sup>	2.76×10 <sup>3</sup> + 0.51×10 <sup>3</sup>
Hawawshi	5.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	4.0×10 <sup>4</sup>	8.12×10 <sup>3</sup> + 1.29×10 <sup>3</sup>
<b>Chicken Products:</b>			
Pane	1.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.0×10 <sup>4</sup>	3.01×10 <sup>3</sup> + 0.46×10 <sup>3</sup>
Shiesh tawook	4.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	1.0×10 <sup>5</sup>	9.84×10 <sup>3</sup> + 1.68×10 <sup>3</sup>
Shawerma	6.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	1.8×10 <sup>5</sup>	1.75×10 <sup>4</sup> + 0.31×10 <sup>4</sup>

S.E\* = standard error of mean.

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Aerobic plate count of the examined fast food samples

Table (1) showed that shawerma was the most contaminated fast food followed by hawawshi, shiesh tawook, sausage, pane and then beef kofta. This could be attributed to the fact that shawerma and hawawshi may receive more handling during preparation as well as addition of spices, which may be contaminated with larger number of microorganisms. The obtained results were nearly similar to those reported by Hassan (1986), who found that APC in the examined samples of kofta was  $3.6 \times 10^4$  (cfu/g). While lower results were recorded by El-Daly et al. (1987) who found that the mean value of APC in the examined samples of

cooked spiced minced meat (hawawshi) was  $7 \times 10^3$  (cfu/g). However higher findings were obtained by Nassar (1988), who found that the APC in examined samples of cooked meat was  $2.1 \times 10^7$  (cfu/g). Also, Rafaie and Mostafa (1990) found that the mean APC in examined samples of shawerma collected from various fast food restaurants was  $2.46 \times 10^7$  (cfu/g).

Although, the APC of any food articles are not a sure indicative of their safety for consumption, yet it is of supreme importance in judging the hygienic condition under which food has been produced, handled and stored (Levine, 1987). Accordingly, the high bacterial counts of some examined samples may be attributed to neglected sanitary measures during their processing, handling, serving of such products. The variation in bacterial counts between different types of

meat products could be attributed to difference of ingredients and steps involved in their formulation and preparation (Hefnawy and Youssef, 1984). The three main routes by which microorganisms enter food are the foodstuff, food handlers and the environments (Roberts, 1990). Early preparation of larger quantities of meat products and holding for hours without control can facilitate the growth of microorganisms, which contaminated such products from numerous sources during handling, transports, processing, storage and serving (Dawson, 1992).

#### 4.2. Total coliform count of the examined fast food samples

The current results given in Table (2) agree with those recorded by Nassar (1988), Yassien (1992) and Elwi (1994) found that the mean values of coliform were  $44 \times 10^2$  and  $22 \times 10^2$  (cfu/g) in the examined samples of cooked meat and cooked kofta, respectively. While, lower results were recorded by Hassan (1986) who found that 55% of the examined samples of kofta were contaminated with coliforms. However, higher findings were obtained by Rafaie and Moustafa (1990), Daif (1996) and Hussien (1996) who found the mean value of coliform was  $33.9 \times 10^5$ /g and  $1.8 \times 10^5$  /g in the examined shawerma and kofta samples, respectively.

Coliforms were significant organisms in meat as indicator of fecal contamination and had the ability to grow well over wide range of temperature below  $10^\circ\text{C}$  up to  $46^\circ\text{C}$  (Gill *et al.*, 1996), Also the presence of coliform bacteria in great numbers may be responsible for inferior quality of meat products resulting in economic losses and the possibility of presence of enteric pathogens which constitute public health hazard (Trout and Osburn, 1997). The high incidence of coliforms in the examined fast food as sandwiches indicates inadequate processing or post processing contamination (most

probably from workers, dirty instrument, machinery and other contact surfaces), or from raw ingredients before processing which drive their contamination from various sources as human contact, polluted water, soil and manure (NAS, 1985).

#### 4.3. Total Staphylococci count of the examined fast food samples

The current results given in Table (5) were nearly similar to those obtained by Nassar (1988), Yassien EL-Essawy (1990) and Moussa *et al.* (1992) who found that the mean value of *S. aureus* count (cfu/g) was  $5.8 \times 10^4$  in the examined samples of ready to eat meat. While, Ahmed (1991), Tolba (1994) and Mohamed (2000) failed to detect and isolate *S. aureus* from any of the examined samples of heat treated meat products. However, higher findings were obtained by Kirralla (2007) who found that the mean value of *S. aureus* counts in the examined samples of cooked meat was  $2.45 \times 10^5$ /g.

The presence of *S.aureus* in a food indicates its contamination from food handlers & in adequately cleaned equipments (ICMSF, 1996). *Staphylococcus aureus* can be carried on hands, nasal passage or throats. Most food borne illness outbreaks are result from contamination from food handlers and production of heat stable toxins in food. Sanitary food handling and proper cooking and refrigerating should prevent *Staphylococcus* food borne illness (FSIS, 2003).

*Staphylococcus aureus* intoxication is a worldwide problem where several food poisoning outbreaks were reported due to consumption of meat products contaminated with this organism. Accordingly, the total *S. aureus* count can be taken as index of sanitary conditions under which meat and its products are manufactured and handled (Potter, 2001). Such organisms were previously isolated from ready – to – eat meat products by Soliman *et al.* (2002) and

## Bacteriological evaluation of fast foods

Kirralla (2007) isolated *S. aureus* from the examined cooked meat samples. Staphylococcal food poisoning is the result of performed enterotoxins that are produced by certain strains of *S. aureus* resulting in symptoms of intoxication, not infection. The most common symptoms appear approximately 3-8 hrs after ingestion and include nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps and diarrhea. Generally, symptoms are short in duration (approximately 24 – 48 hrs) (Sandle and Mckillip, 2004).

### 5. REFERENCES

- Ahmed, I. M. 1991. Hygienic quality of marketed ready to eat meat. M.V. Sc. Thesis, Meat Hygiene, Fac. of Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ.
- American Public Health Association "APHA" 1984. Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. 2nd Ed. Speck, H.L. (ed.). APHA. Washington D.C.
- Bailey, W.R., Scott, E.G. 1978. Diagnostic Microbiology. A textbook for the isolation and identification of pathogenic microorganisms. 5th Ed., Carroll B. Larson and Marjorie Gould Publisher, Saint Louis, C.V. Mosby Co., Missouri, USA.
- Collins, C.H., Lyne, P.M. 1984. Microbiological methods 5th microbiology laboratory manual, British Librery, Butter Wort Inc., London, UK.
- Cowan, S.T., Steel, K.J. 1974. Manual for identification of medical bacteria. Cambridge Univ. Press, London, New York, Malburne.
- Cruickshank, R.; Duguid, J.P.; Marmion, B.P., Swain, R.H.A. 1975. Medical Microbiology 12th, ed., Edinburg, London and New York.
- Daif, E. A. (1996): Sanitary status of meat meals of the students of El- Azher University "Assiut branch". M.V.Sc. Thesis, Meat Hygiene, Fac. of Vet. Med. Assiut Univ.
- Davies, A., Board, R. 1998. Microbiology of meat and poultry. A text book, 1st Ed. Edmunds burg Press. Ltd. Edmunds, London.
- Dawson, R.J. 1992. FAO and street food 3rd world congress food borne infections and intoxications. Vol. II, 16 – 19 June, Berlin.
- El-Daly, E.A., Morshdy, A.E., Saleh. E. 1987. Microbiological quality of Egyptian minced meat loaf "El-hawawshy". *Zagazig Vet. J.* 15: 71-77.
- Elwi, E.M. 1994. Sanitary improvement of meat meals in governmental hospitals in Assiut City. Ph. D. thesis, Meat Hygiene, Fac. of Vet. Med.; Assiut University.
- Food Safety and Inspection Service "FSIS" United States Department of Agriculture 2003. Meat preparation: Beef from farm to table. Washington. DC. 20250-3700.
- Gill, C.O.; McGinnis, K.R. , Houde, A. 1996. They hygienic condition of manufacturing beef destined for the manufacturing beef destined for the manufacture of hamburger patties. *Food Microbiol.*, 13:391.
- Hassan, A.I. 1986. Microbiological quality of ready to eat meat meals in air catering. M.V. Sc., Thesis (Meat hygtiene), Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.
- Hefnawy, Y.A., Youssef, H.H. 1984. Microbiological evaluation of some selected spices. *Assuit Vet. Med. J.*, 13: 145-149.
- Hugh, R. , Leifson, E. 1953. The taxonomic significance of fermentative versus oxidative metabolism of carbohydrates by various Gram-negative bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.*, 66: 24.
- Hussein, M.I. 1996. Microbial evaluation of some meat meals of Assiut restaurants. M.V.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Vet. Medicine, Assiut University.

- International commission of Microbiological Specification for Foods "ICMSF" 1996. Microorganisms in Food. I-Their Significance and methods of enumeration. 3rd Ed. Univ. of Toronto, Canada.
- Kirralla, G.A. 2007. Sanitary status of meat meals of students of tanta university. M.V.Sc. Thesis (Meat Hygiene), Fac. Vet. Med., Kafr El Shiekh Univ.
- Kovacs 1928. A simplified method for detection of indole formation by Bacteria. *Immunities Forest* 26: 311.
- Lachia, R.V.F., Genigeogis, C. . Hoeprich, P.D. 1971. Meta chromatic agar-diffusion methods for detecting Staphylococcal nuclease activity. *Appl. Microbiol.*, 21: 585:587.
- Levine, M.M. 1987. Escherichia coli that cause diarrhea: enterotoxigenic, enteropathogenic, enteroinvasive, entero- haemorrhagic enteroadherent. *J. Infect. Dis.*, 155:377-389.
- Ljutov, V. 1961. Technique of methyl red test. *Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand.*, 51: 369-380.
- Ljutov, V. 1963. Technique of Voges proskauer test. *Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand*, 58: 325-335.
- Maturin, L.J. ,Peeler, J.T. 1998. Aerobic plate count. Ch. 3. In Food and Drug administration Bacteriological Analytical Manual, 8th Ed. (revision A), (CD-ROM version). R.L. Merker (Ed.). AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD.
- Macfaddin, J.F. 1976 Biochemical tests for identification medical bacteria. Waryer press Inc, Baltimore, Md. 21202 USA.
- Mohamed, E.N. 2000. Quality investigation into beef frankfurter produced in Egypt. M.V. Sc., Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.
- Moussa, M.M., Samaha, I.; El Daly, E., Salah, E. 1992 Occurrence of certain food poisoning organism in some ready-to-eat food. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 1:21.
- Narasimha, R.D., Ramesh, B.S. 1988 Microbial profiles of minced meat. *Meat Science* 23:279-291.
- Nassar, A.M. 1988. Sanitary status of meat meals in Assuit University hospitals. M.V.Sc. Thesis, (Meat Hygiene), Assuit Univ. Egypt.
- National Academy of Science "NAS" (1985): An evaluation of the role of microbiological criteria for foods and food ingredients. National Academy Press, Washington D.C.
- Potter, N.N. 2001. Food Science. 3rd Ed. The AVI Publishing Co., INC. New York, USA.
- Rafaie, R.S., Mostafa, S. 1990. Microbiological quality of shawarma. *Assuit Vet. Med. J.*, 24(47): 135.
- Roberts, D. 1990. Sources of infection: food. *Lancet British Edition*: 336: 859-861.
- Simmon, J.S. 1926. A culture medium for differentiating organisms of the typhoid aerogenes groups and the isolation of certain fungi. *J. Infect. Dis.*, 39: 209.
- Soliman, M.R. 1988. Sanitary status of ready to eat meat products and fishes. M.V.Sc., Thesis (Meat hygiene), Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.
- Soliman, M.R.; Abd El-Monem, K.M. Saad, S.M. 2002. Microbiological quality of ready-to-eat meat products and fishes in urban and rural areas. *J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 62: 39-51.
- Tolba, K.S. 1994. Microflora in locally processed frozen meat. *Vet. Med. J. Giza*, 42 (2): 99.
- Trout, H. , Osburn, B. 1997. Meat from dairy cows: possible microbiological hazards and risks. *Rev. Sci. Technol.*, 16: 405 – 414.
- Yassien, N.A. 1992. Enteropathogenic E.coli in a food-serving establishment. *Fleischwirtschaft*. 12:5.
- Yassien, N.A., El-Essawy, H.H. 1990. Bacterial quality of ready to eat meals in air catering. *Vet. Med. J. Giza*. 1:9.



## التقييم البكتريولوجي للأغذية في مطاعم الوجبات السريعة في محافظة القاهرة.

همت مصطفى إبراهيم، ريهام عبد العزيز أمين، عمرو صبيح عبد العزيز  
قسم مراقبة الأغذية - كلية الطب البيطري - جامعة بنها

### الملخص العربي

تعتبر الوجبات سريعة الإستهلاك المجهزة من اللحوم ومنتجاتها من أهم الأغذية التي يقبل عليها عدد كبير من المستهلكين في مصر والعالم وذلك لقيمتها الغذائية نتيجة إحتوائها علي نسبة عالية من البروتين الحيواني ولطعمها الشهي وسهولة إعدادها علاوة علي إنخفاض ثمنها. لكنها عرضة للتلوث بالعديد من الميكروبات الممرضة والتي تشكل خطورة علي صحة المستهلك أثناء تجهيزها وطهيها وقبل تناولها. لذا قامت الدراسة بفحص عدد (90) عينة عشوائية جاهزة للأكل من كل من كفته للحوم، السجق البقري، حواوشي اللحوم، بانبة الدجاج، شيش طاووق، شاورمة الدجاج بواقع (15) عينة من كل منتج والتي تم جمعها من محلات ومطاعم الأغذية السريعة بمحافظة القاهرة وذلك لتحديد جودتها من الناحية البكتريولوجية وقد دلت نتائج الدراسة علي أن متوسط العدد الكلي للميكروبات الهوائية، ميكروبات القولون والمكور العنقودي هو  $410 \times 0.39 \pm 410 \times 1.83$ ،  $210 \times 1.48 \pm 210 \times 7.91$ ،  $210 \times 9.35 \pm 210 \times 2.08$  / جم في عينات كفته للحوم،  $410 \times 2.07 \pm 410 \times 8.61$ ،  $310 \times 0.33 \pm 310 \times 1.54$ ،  $310 \times 6.62 \pm 310 \times 1.09$  / جم في عينات السجق البقري،  $410 \times 2.24 \pm 410 \times 8.12$ ،  $310 \times 0.52 \pm 310 \times 6.62$ ،  $310 \times 1.09 \pm 310 \times 8.12$  و  $310 \times 1.29 \pm 310 \times 8.12$  / جم في عينات الحواوشي،  $410 \times 7.35 \pm 410 \times 1.17$ ،  $310 \times 0.26 \pm 310 \times 1.18$  و  $310 \times 3.01 \pm 310 \times 0.46$  / جم في عينات بانبة الدجاج،  $410 \times 5.08 \pm 410 \times 1.17$  و  $310 \times 4.32 \pm 310 \times 1.17$ ،  $510 \times 0.46 \pm 510 \times 1.92$ ،  $510 \times 0.74 \pm 510 \times 4.58$ ،  $310 \times 0.85 \pm 310 \times 9.97$  و  $310 \times 2.53 \pm 310 \times 9.97$ ،  $410 \times 1.57 \pm 410 \times 0.31$  / جم في عينات شاورما الفراخ وقد وجد أن الإختلافات بين العينات محل الدراسة كانت معنوية هذا وقد تم عزل ميكروبات *Citrobacter diversus* *Citrobacter freudii*، *Enterobacter aerogenes*، *Enterobacter cloacae*، *kelebsiella ozaenae*، *klebsiella pneumoniae*، *Proteus mirabilis*، *Proteus rettgeri*، *Proteus vulgaris*، *Serratia liquefaciens* and *Serratia marcescens*. في عينات كفته للحوم، السجق البقري، الحواوشي، بانبة الدجاج، الشيش طاووق شاورما الفراخ. هذا وقد تم مناقشة الأهمية الصحية للميكروبات التي تم عزلها من منتجات الأغذية الجاهزة (سريعة الاستهلاك) ومدى تأثيرها على الصحة العامة والمصادر التي تسبب تلوث هذه الأغذية بهذه الميكروبات وكذلك المقترحات التي تؤدي إلي تحسين جودة تلك الأغذية.

(مجلة بنها للعلوم الطبية البيطرية: عدد 26(1):34-42, مارس 2014)